

# Contents

<b>Zusammenfassung</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>XIII</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. The cellular response to DNA damage . . . . .	2
1.1.1. Detection of DNA double strand breaks . . . . .	2
1.1.2. Transducing the damage signal . . . . .	3
1.1.3. Cellular response . . . . .	4
1.1.4. The DNA damage response in pathology and cancer therapy . . . . .	5
1.2. NF- $\kappa$ B signaling in presence of DNA double strand breaks . . . . .	6
1.2.1. DNA double strand break-induced NF- $\kappa$ B activation . . . . .	7
1.2.2. NF- $\kappa$ B-induced cell fates in response to DNA damage . . . . .	9
1.3. The tumor suppressor p53 and its role in the DNA damage response . . . . .	11
1.3.1. DNA double strand break-induced p53 signaling . . . . .	12
1.3.2. Mathematical modeling-driven insights into the regulation of p53 signaling . . . . .	13
1.3.3. P53-induced cell fates in response to DNA damage and its role in the decision making process . . . . .	14
1.4. Interplay of the NF- $\kappa$ B and p53 pathway in context of DNA damage . . . . .	15
<b>I. Development and analysis of a dynamical model describing genotoxic NF-<math>\kappa</math>B signaling</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2. Motivation</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3. Results</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1. Modular approach to develop a dynamical model of DNA damage-induced NF- $\kappa$ B activation . . . . .	21
3.2. Recognition of DSBs by PARP-1 and the importance of its self-enhanced recruitment . . . . .	21
3.2.1. Positive feedback of PARP-1 recruitment is not sufficient to reproduce the experimental data . . . . .	22
3.2.2. Incorporating NAD <sup>+</sup> consumption into the model allows to reproduce the data but predicts hyperactivation of PARP-1 . . . . .	24
3.2.3. Model describing recruitment of PARP-1 to periphery of DNA lesions reproduces the data and predicts low numbers of induced DSBs . . . . .	27
3.3. Recruitment and activation of kinase ATM . . . . .	30
3.4. Formation of a nuclear complex integrates the signals of the sensor proteins . . . . .	33
3.5. ATM and IKK $\gamma$ transfer the DNA damage signal from the nucleus to the cytoplasm . . . . .	36
3.6. Merging the individual models . . . . .	38
	<b>IX</b>

3.7.	Sensitivity analysis reveals a strong impact of PARP-1 modification on IKK activation . . . . .	38
3.8.	Time-resolved regulation of IKK activity . . . . .	43
3.8.1.	The effect of the irradiation strength on the regulation of IKK activity	43
3.8.2.	Inhibition of ATM activity modulates the level of PARylated PARP-1 contributing to signalosome formation . . . . .	49
3.8.3.	Irradiation strength-dependent increase in parPARP levels counteract the effect of ATM inhibition on signalosome formation . . . . .	52
3.9.	Time-resolved impact of parallel signaling on IKK activation . . . . .	54
<b>4.</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>Deciphering the multifaceted interplay of IKK2 and the p53 pathway using single cell dynamics</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Motivation</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>67</b>
6.1.	The response of p53 is modulated by the activation status of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway	67
6.1.1.	Quantified changes in the features of p53 dynamics . . . . .	68
6.1.2.	Consistency of IKK2i-mediated changes in p53 dynamics . . . . .	69
6.1.3.	Specificity of IKK2i-mediated changes in p53 dynamics . . . . .	71
6.2.	Reproducing the heterogeneous dynamics of p53 using subpopulation-based modeling . . . . .	72
6.2.1.	Clustering single cell trajectories reveals ten subpopulations with distinct p53 dynamics . . . . .	75
6.2.2.	Subpopulation-based modeling allows to reproduce the heterogeneous dynamics of p53 upon DSBs . . . . .	78
6.2.3.	Identifying subpopulation-specific parameters driving heterogeneity in the p53 response . . . . .	80
6.3.	IKK2 is predicted to act on multiple processes of the p53 network . . . . .	82
6.3.1.	Peak-wise sensitivity analysis . . . . .	84
6.3.2.	Comparing the results of the sensitivity analysis with the experimental observations . . . . .	84
6.3.3.	Sensitivity analysis of parameter pairs reveals potential interfaces . . . . .	85
6.3.4.	Differential impact of parameters on the first and the consecutive p53 peaks . . . . .	87
6.4.	Parameter inference predicts interactions of IKK2 and p53 network components	88
6.5.	Validation of predicted parameter combinations unravels two signaling mechanisms . . . . .	92
<b>7.</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>Conclusion and future perspectives</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>111</b>
9.1.	Quantification of western blot and electro mobility shift assay data . . . . .	111
9.2.	Parameter inference . . . . .	112
9.3.	Profile Likelihood Estimation . . . . .	114
9.4.	Akaike weights . . . . .	114

9.5.	Adapting the Data2Dynamics toolbox . . . . .	115
9.6.	Sensitivity analysis of overall model . . . . .	116
9.7.	Determining the area under the curve . . . . .	116
9.8.	Processing single cell time lapse data and quantifying features of dynamics . . . . .	117
9.8.1.	Smoothing of single cell trajectories . . . . .	117
9.8.2.	Filter for trajectories reaching detection limits . . . . .	117
9.8.3.	Quantifying features of single cell dynamics . . . . .	117
9.9.	Clustering of single cell trajectories . . . . .	118
9.9.1.	Filter for outliers regarding peak number and peak timing . . . . .	120
9.9.2.	Determining dissimilarities between single cell trajectories . . . . .	120
9.9.3.	Clustering . . . . .	122
9.9.4.	Evaluation of different cluster settings . . . . .	128
9.10.	Subpopulation-based modeling . . . . .	129
9.10.1.	Defining and implementing subpopulation-specific parameters . . . . .	129
9.10.2.	Fit of model pool to subpopulations . . . . .	131
9.10.3.	Using L1 regularization to identify parameters driving heterogeneity . . . . .	132
9.11.	Sensitivity analysis . . . . .	137
9.12.	Reproducing the perturbation data . . . . .	138
9.12.1.	Processing of perturbation data . . . . .	138
9.12.2.	Allocating trajectories of perturbation data to clusters of calibration data . . . . .	138
9.12.3.	Parameter inference based on perturbation data . . . . .	143
9.13.	Weighted $\chi^2$ value . . . . .	143

<b>A.</b>	<b>Development and analysis of a dynamical model describing genotoxic NF-<math>\kappa</math>B signaling</b>	<b>145</b>
A.1.	Overview of experimental data sets . . . . .	145
A.2.	Modeling the auto-modification of PARP-1 . . . . .	146
A.2.1.	ODE systems of model variants . . . . .	146
A.2.2.	Parameters of model PM_4 . . . . .	149
A.2.3.	Comparison of PARP-1 model variants . . . . .	151
A.2.4.	Additional data for PARP-1 models . . . . .	152
A.3.	Modeling the activation of ATM . . . . .	155
A.3.1.	Model variants describing activation of ATM . . . . .	155
A.3.2.	ODE systems of model variants . . . . .	155
A.3.3.	Parameters of model variant AM_6 . . . . .	160
A.3.4.	Comparison of model variants . . . . .	160
A.3.5.	Quantified western blot data of phosphorylated ATM . . . . .	161
A.3.6.	Profile likelihood estimation of model variant AM_5 . . . . .	162
A.4.	Modeling the nuclear signalosome formation . . . . .	162
A.4.1.	ODE system of the signalosome formation model . . . . .	162
A.5.	Modeling the TRAF6 complex formation in the cytoplasm . . . . .	164
A.5.1.	Schemes of additional model variants . . . . .	164
A.5.2.	Quantified western blot data calibrate TRAF6 complex formation model variants . . . . .	166
A.5.3.	ODE systems of model variants . . . . .	167
A.5.4.	Parameters of model variant TM_2 . . . . .	169
A.5.5.	Overview of model variants . . . . .	169
A.5.6.	PLE for model variant TM_2 . . . . .	170
A.5.7.	Simulation of best fit of model variant TM_2 . . . . .	171

A.6. Overall model describing activation of IKK upon DSBs . . . . .	172
A.6.1. ODE system of the overall model . . . . .	172
A.6.2. Simulation and experimental data of overall model . . . . .	174
A.6.3. Parameters of overall model . . . . .	175
A.6.4. Validation experiments for ATM and PARP-1 inhibition . . . . .	177
A.6.5. Time-resolved impact of parPARP, pATM and IKK $\gamma$ on flux of sig- nalosome formation . . . . .	179
A.6.6. Time-resolved impact of spIKK $\gamma$ and ATT on flux of IKK activation .	182
<b>B. Deciphering the multifaceted interplay of IKK2 and p53 pathway using single cell dynamics</b>	<b>185</b>
B.1. Description and labeling of single cell data sets . . . . .	185
B.2. Mathematical model of p53 signaling . . . . .	186
B.2.1. Labels and description of p53 model parameters . . . . .	186
B.2.2. ODE system of p53 model pool . . . . .	189
B.3. Additional data of features of dynamics . . . . .	192
B.3.1. Additional features of dynamics of the reference data set . . . . .	192
B.3.2. Features of dynamics of the parameter inference data set . . . . .	193
B.3.3. Features of dynamics of the replicate data set . . . . .	194
B.3.4. Additional features of dynamics of the inhibitor data set . . . . .	198
B.4. Subpopulation-based modeling . . . . .	201
B.5. Sensitivity analyses . . . . .	204
B.6. Parameter inference based on perturbation data . . . . .	207
B.7. Validation of predicted parameter combinations . . . . .	208
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>233</b>